

Introduction to Vermont's Equalization Study

JANUARY 2023

Notice of change for the Equalization Year Certified for December 31, 2022 only:

Due to abnormal market variability during the equalization study sales period, the Division of Property Valuation and Review is adjusting the sampling error threshold from 10% to 15%. The sampling error is the margin of error associated with an aggregate ratio divided by the aggregate ratio itself. This change has been determined through consultation with the Department's statistician and will remain in place until further notice. As in past years, this logic applies throughout the study at each level of aggregation: category, class, and town.

Table of Contents

Section 1: Introduction	3
Section 2: Purpose of Equalization Studies	3
Section 3: Statutory Requirements	3
Section 4: Determining Equalized Fair Market Values	4
General Procedures	4
Grand List Categories	5
Table 1: Grand List Category Codes	5
Collecting Data: The Sales Ratio Report	5
Analysis of Sales Data and Certified Sale Report	6
Section 5: Understanding the Computation Sheet	6
Section 6: Appeals	7
Section 7: Resources	9
Glossary	9
Contact Us	11

Section 1: Introduction

Vermont raises education funds through several tax sources including a state property tax. The state property tax is based on the grand lists compiled by the listers/assessing officials in more than 250 municipalities in the state. Each municipality's grand list is based on the fair market values of the properties located in the municipality. The Division of Property Valuation and Review (PVR) of the Vermont Department of Taxes conducts an annual equalization study of all municipalities in Vermont to assess the correlation of the listed values to sales prices for properties located within each municipality.

Vermont listers and assessors are required to list all taxable property each year at its fair market value. Fair market value is the price a property is likely to bring if sold on the open market by a willing seller to a willing buyer, both equally well informed and not under any compulsion to sell or purchase. However, listed values often do not reflect fair market value. This is because real estate markets are always changing and because reappraisals are not conducted annually. In order to treat all municipalities fairly throughout the state, grand list values are adjusted annually to bring each municipality's grand list to fair market value. The adjustment is made through the equalization study described in this publication. In general, the equalization study is based on a review of sales of real estate in each municipality throughout the state and the computation of a ratio of listed-value-to-sale-price on those sales that meet the criteria for an arm's length sale. The criteria for an arm's length sale include: a willing seller and a willing buyer with no significant prior relationship; reasonably equal access to knowledge about the property; and absence of compulsion to sell or buy. The ratios computed from the sample are applied to all similar property types within that municipality to determine a reliable estimation of the total fair market value of all taxable properties in the municipality.

Equalization studies have been performed in Vermont since 1963. Similar studies are regularly conducted by state governments in most of the United States for much the same reasons that they are conducted in Vermont.

Section 2: Purpose of Equalization Studies

Equalization studies measure the total value of taxable property in each town and school district in the state. The results of the Equalization Study are used to do the following:

- 1. Determine education tax rates / liability
- 2. Apportion county taxes
- 3. Determine whether a municipality must undergo a reappraisal
- 4. Equalize education property tax rates
- 5. Equalize the use values for land enrolled in Current Use
- 6. Equalize the fair market value found in an appeal beyond the lister/assessor level

Section 3: Statutory Requirements

The commissioner is required to determine the equalized education property tax grand list and coefficient of dispersion (COD) for each municipality in the State. 32 V.S.A. § 5405 (legislature.vermont.gov). The commissioner's determination must take into consideration those factors required by 32 V.S.A. § 3481

(legislature.vermont.gov). The commissioner's determination is based upon such methods that are in the commissioner's judgment and in view of the resources available for that purpose appropriate to support this determination. 32 V.S.A.§ 5405 (legislature.vermont.gov).

By January 1 of each year, the director of PVR must notify the town clerk and the board of listers/assessors of each municipality of the equalized education property value and COD for the municipality. The Secretary of the Agency of Education is notified of these values annually by April 1. 32 V.S.A §5406 (legislature.vermont. gov). The equalized education grand list is one percent (1%) of the town's equalized education property value (EEPV).

A municipality may petition the director of PVR for a redetermination of its EEPV and COD and may further appeal to the superior court and from there to the Vermont Supreme Court. 32 V.S.A. §5408 (legislature. vermont.gov).

Section 4: Determining Equalized Fair Market Values

General Procedures

The general procedures for completing the study may be generally described as follows:

- Data for each sale occurring in each municipality is collected using information extracted from the Property Transfer Tax Returns filed with the Department. Information used in the study is based on sales data from the prior three years ending in March 31 of the study year. The sales are available to the municipality in the town's VT-PIE account where the listers/assessor review the sales, correcting errors in the data and providing feedback as to the circumstances of each sale. In addition, verification letters are mailed to sellers and buyers in question, and sales that would constitute statistical outliers and extremes to determine the circumstances of the sale. The results of the verification process are used to eliminate sales that do not represent arms-length sales.
- When there are insufficient sales (fewer than five) and/or a statistically unreliable sample at the town level, supplemental appraisals are obtained to ensure that a reliable sample is available for equalization.
- The ratio of listed-value-to-sales-price is calculated for all included sales. Individual ratios are examined for unusual values and flagged for investigation (and possible exclusion from the sample).
- The ratios for the included sales are aggregated at the grand list category, class, and for the municipality. In addition, a statistical analysis is applied to determine whether the margin of error at a 90% confidence level is less than 10% of the estimated ratio* for each of the grand list categories and classes. If the aggregate ratio of the sample is reliable at the category level, that ratio is applied to equalize the category. If the ratios are not reliable at the category level, the class level is used. If the aggregate ratio is not reliable at the class level the ratio at the municipal level is used.
- The resulting reliable ratios are applied to the aggregate grand list value for the appropriate category, class, or the municipality to compute the equalized education property value for the municipality. The equalized education grand list is one percent (1%) of the equalized education property value for the municipality.
- The coefficient of dispersion required by statute is also calculated from the results of the ratio study to assess the internal fairness of each municipal grand list. A high coefficient of dispersion indicates a need for a reappraisal.

The listers and assessors annually provide an abstract of the grand list and Form 411 to the town/city clerk who then certifies that information to the Department. This abstract contains the total listed value in each of the 15 grand list categories below and gives detailed information on stabilized and exempt properties.

* See notice of change on cover page

Grand List Categories

Table 1: Grand List Category Codes

All sales that are approved for inclusion in the study are classified into the 15 grand list categories.

Grand List Category Code	Class
R1 - Residential 1	Residential
R2 - Residential 2	Residential
S1 - Seasonal	Residential
R2 - Seasonal 2	Residential
MHU - Mobile Home-Unlanded	Residential
MHL - Mobile Home-Landed	Residential
C - Commercial	Commercial/Industrial
CA - Commercial Apartments	Commercial/Industrial
I - Industrial - Manufacturing	Commercial/Industrial
UE - Industrial - Electric Utility	Utilities
UO - Industrial - Other Utility	Utilities
F - Farm	Farm/Vacant
W- Woodland	Farm/Vacant
M - Miscellaneous	Farm/Vacant
O - Other	Category used to isolate a unique type of property, such as a condominium or a lakefront property.

Collecting Data: The Sales Ratio Report

A Property Transfer Tax Return (PTTR) is filed with the Department for every real property conveyance. Data from the PTTRs are entered into a database. All PTTRs are evaluated to determine if there are special factors indicating the sale was not an arm's length or fair market sale, such as transfers between related parties, transfers of rights-of-way, and transfers for which there is no monetary consideration.

A report can be produced in VT-PIE by the listers/assessors of their town and/or city showing all sales that took place in the year prior to the assessment date (April 1) of the study. The listers/assessors are asked to assist their PVR district advisor to further screen the sales and to correct any errors in the listed values, category

coding, grand list descriptions, etc. The information for each transaction may be subjected to a further validation process in which the parties to the transaction are contacted by mail or by phone and asked to verify key information about the transfer that may have influenced the sale. Once the new sales information is validated, it is combined with validated sales information from the prior two years of sales information1 previously reviewed by the local assessing officials to create the database used to make the calculations of common level of appraisal and the coefficient of dispersion for each municipality.

Analysis of Sales Data and Certified Sale Report

The validated sales are analyzed to compute the ratios used to best estimate the fair market value of all the taxable property in the municipality. There are three columns at the far right of the sales listings. Any outlier, extreme and influential ratios (see Glossary) identified in that analysis is noted there. Those transactions that have special circumstances are removed from the sale sample before the ratios are computed. Immediately following the sales for each category (R1, R2, etc.) are three groupings of information as shown below:

Grouping 1: Category Statistics—contains an overview of several statistics that describe the characteristics of the sales data within that property category, e.g., the aggregate ratio and the coefficient of dispersion.

Grouping 2: Limits Established by Original Sales Data—contains the analysis used to identify the outliers or extremes, or both noted above.

Grouping 3: Ratios and Confidence Intervals*—contain the analysis used to determine whether the aggregate ratio can be used to equalize the category. The aggregate ratio is used when the margin of error at a 90% confidence level is less than 10% of the estimated ratio. If that confidence level has been achieved, the line will indicate "YES" beside the statement "Category Sample Valid: 90% confident that true aggregate ratio is within 10% of sample ratio." If that confidence level is not achieved at the category level, and you see "NO," then the property in that category will be equalized using the ratio determined at a higher level of aggregation (class or townwide). The analysis at these higher levels of aggregation appears at the end of the "Certified Sales Report."

Many of the terms used above are defined in the glossary at the end of this document. The listers and assessors may contact their PVR district advisor or call PVR at (802) 828-5860, option 3, with any questions.

¹ All three years of sales are screened, and the listed values are revised in the year of a reappraisal. * See notice of change on cover page.

Section 5: Understanding the Computation Sheet

The "Certified Final Computation Sheet" shows the final data used to determine the town's equalized education property value, common level of appraisal, and coefficient of dispersion.

The Category, Property Count, and the Ed Form 411 Listed Value columns come directly from the abstract of the grand list (Form 411) filed by the town or city clerk. The other columns across the page from left to right include the following:

CUSE Value - Current Use Value. These figures are from the previous current use data, and represent the use value of farm buildings (at 0% value) and acreage that are enrolled in the current use program (32 V.S.A. Chapter 124).

CUSE values are deducted from the Education and Municipal Listed Values prior to the application of the equalization ratios (the ratios are applied only to that portion of the grand list that was established by the local assessing officials, not to that portion required to be appraised at use value). The CUSE values (the per acre values for enrolled agricultural and forest land as set by Current Use Advisory Board (CUAB)) are added to the equalized (fair market) value to compute the equalized education and municipal values.

Education Listed Value Excl. CUSE - This is the education listed value as reported on the 411 form minus the CUSE values.

Municipal Listed Value Excl. CUSE - This is the municipal listed value as reported on the 411 form minus the CUSE values.

Applied Ratio - This is the equalization ratio that is used to adjust the listed value by category. For most categories, the Form 411 Listed Value divided by this ratio equals the Equalized Education Value of that category.

Form 411 Listed Value of Category / Applied Ratio = Equalized Education Value for category However, for categories that include current use properties, there is another step. In order to calculate the Equalized Use Value of the category, reference the Current Use information on the back of the computation sheet (CUSE Value Used in Computations). The use value of enrolled land has been adjusted to reflect the use values as set by the Current Use Advisory Board (CUAB). Here is the math for computing the property value using the Applied Ratio:

Education Listed Value Excl. CUSE / Applied Ratio (+ Use Value divided by prior year's CLA (from back side)) = Equalized Education Value of category

The bottom figure in the Applied Ratio column and the Grand Totals row, is the "Common Level of Appraisal" (CLA), which is defined in 32 V.S.A. § 5401(3). It is the sum of the Form 411 Ed Listed Values (including any cable TV listed as personal property) plus the foregone listed value of any local agreements divided by the Education Property Value:

(Education Grand List + Cable TV Personal Property) / Equalized Education Property Value = CLA

COD – The Coefficient of Dispersion for the category as indicated by any sales. A zero means no sales were available to determine a COD. The certified COD, determined using all sales, appears to the right of the total equalized values, (see Townwide COD).

Average Listed Value - This is the average listed value for the category.

Section 6: Appeals

Petition for Redetermination - A municipality may petition the Director for redetermination of the Equalized Education Property Value and COD. The petition must be received within 35 days from the date of mailing the notice of fair market value and coefficient of dispersion and must be signed by the chair of the legislative body (which is usually the Selectboard or city council) or its designee. 32 V.S.A. § 5408 (legislature.vermont.gov).

The petition and hearing process is designed to be informal so municipalities will be encouraged to appeal if they feel aggrieved. Provided a municipality meets the statutory requirements mentioned above, the hearing is the municipality's opportunity to raise whatever arguments it chooses and to support these arguments with whatever evidence it feels is relevant.

A municipality has the burden of making its case and cannot rely exclusively on PVR to substantiate claims. A municipality is required to provide a short, plain statement of the matters being appealed and a statement of the remedy the municipality seeks. Redeterminations will be based exclusively on the evidence presented and its relative weight and on matters officially noticed by the Director or their designated hearing officer.²

²These requirements are consistent with the notice obligations contained in the Administrative Procedure Act. 32 V.S.A.§801 et. seq (legislature.vermont.gov).

For example, a petitioning municipality may decide to appeal the exclusion of certain sales and the inclusion of others. The municipality's short, plain statement should identify these sales and state that the municipality seeks to have the first group included and the second group excluded from the study.

During the hearing, the municipality should be prepared to support its petition with evidence. It should be prepared to rebut the exclusion or inclusion of specific sales referenced in its notice. If the municipality does not know the reasons for the exclusions, contact the district advisor and get an explanation. If the issue is whether the sale price in a specific sale represents fair market value, the hearing will typically focus on whether the specific sales were arm's length transactions between willing buyers and willing sellers and therefore good indicators of the fair market values of the properties.

For the sales that the municipality seeks to exclude, a statement from a lister/assessor or selectperson that "property X sold above its market value" is considered to be evidence. However, its weight may be inconsequential in the face of the presumption that a bona fide sales price reflects fair market value. A more persuasive argument for the elimination of a sale may be evidence (e.g. a sales verification form signed by the seller and/or buyer) tending to show that the transaction was not arm's length.

Notice of Tentative Redetermination

To help speed the petition process, PVR's district advisors will offer to meet with petitioning municipalities. PVR will consider the issues raised at the meeting. If appropriate, PVR will recalculate the municipality's equalized value and COD and issue a notice of tentative redetermination. To accept, the municipality must sign and return the stipulation enclosed in the notice within 10 days

of receipt of the notice. If the municipality decides not to accept the tentative redetermination within 10 days, the municipality's hearing will proceed in accordance with its original petition for redetermination.

Appeals Beyond the Director's Redetermination

The Director's final redetermination may be appealed to the Valuation Appeal Board. Valuation Appeal Board decisions can be appealed to the superior court of the district in which the town is located and from there to the Vermont Supreme Court.

Section 7: Resources

Glossary

Term	Definition
Aggregate ratio (weighted mean)	The sum of the listed values divided by the sum of the fair market values (sale prices and/or appraised values).
Arm's length transaction	A transaction where the seller and buyer act freely, independently, and have no relationship with each other, such as relatives or business associates. This also includes transfers of rights-of-way and transfers for which there is no monetary consideration.
Average ratio	Sum of the ratios divided by the number of transactions
Category (see also Class)	All real properties are classified into 15 categories based on highest and best use. For example, R1 refers to small acreage residential and UE to utility electric. The goal is to group properties with similar market influences together.
Class	The classification of properties into groups based on their use—for example, residential, commercial, utility, etc. All properties in Vermont are grouped into 15 categories. In conducting the equalization study, listed value to sale price ratios are calculated at the lowest level of aggregation that achieves a reliable ratio. If a reliable ratio cannot be achieved at the category level, then the next higher level of aggregation (class) is used. If a reliable ratio is not achieved at this level, then the townwide ratio is used for equalization.
Coefficient of Dispersion (COD)	The coefficient of dispersion is a measure of equity. It shows how fairly distributed the property tax is within a town. A high COD (above 20%) means that many taxpayers are paying more than their fair share, and many are paying less than their fair share. If a town's COD is higher than 20%, a town is required to reappraise. The COD is the average of the absolute deviations of each sales ratio from the median ratio, divided by the median ratio.
Common Level of Appraisal (CLA)	The common level of appraisal is the total education property value (listed value) divided by the equalized education property value. Vermont law requires property to be assessed at 100% of its fair market value. If the CLA falls below 85% or rises above 115%, a town is required to reappraise.
Confidence Interval (see also Confidence Level) *See notice of change on cover page	An interval calculated around the aggregate ratio. The high and low values in the interval form a range within which one can predict (within the limits of the confidence level) that the true ratio for the grand list exists. Vermont's study required that the interval not exceed a range of plus and minus 10% around the aggregate ratio. This is the maximum range of the interval. The actual range is generally considerably less but will depend on the size of the sales sample and its variability.

Term	Definition
Equalized Education Grand List (EEGL)	One percent of the equalized education property value.
Equalized Education Property Value (EEPV)	The equalized education property value, one percent of which is the equalized education grand list (EEGL), is a fair market value estimate of the total taxable appraisal value.
Extreme Ratios (see also Outlier Ratios and Interquartile Range)	Extreme ratios are those identified as being markedly higher or lower than the aggregate ratio. If a ratio were identified as being extreme, its inclusion in the study would distort the results. Extreme ratios are therefore not used to calculate study results at any level of aggregation where they are identified.
Grand List	1% of the listed value established by the local assessing officials. The municipal grand list is the value used to raise municipal taxes. It includes any personal property taxable at the local level and excludes locally voted exemptions. Properties subject to local stabilization agreements are included at their stabilized values.
	The "education property grand list" (education grand list) is 1% of the education property value. 32 V.S.A. §5404. This is a value established by the listers/assessors. It does not include business personal property inventory and business machinery and equipment. It does include the value of properties exempted by local vote (if not "grandfathered"), and it includes the full value of properties subject to local stabilization agreements.
*See notice of change on cover page	Sales that exert an undue influence on the overall study results. A sale is influential if the town aggregate ratio with the sale included is outside the 90% confidence interval of the town aggregate ratio. In other words, inclusion of the sale would affect the estimate of percent of fair market value more than could be expected given statistical sample uncertainty or margin of error.
Interquartile values / range (see also Outlier and Extreme Ratios)	The values that divide a set of ratios into four equal parts with the lowest observation equal to 0% and the highest equal to 100%. The interquartile range is the distance from the 25th percentile to the 75th percentile. These statistics are used to identify outlier and extreme observations. An outlier is defined as a ratio that is beyond 1.5 times the interquartile range from either the 25th or the 75th percentiles. An extreme is defined as a ratio that is beyond 3 times the interquartile range from the same percentiles.
Median ratio	The midpoint or middle value when the ratios are ranked in order of magnitude. If there is an even number of sales, the average of the two middle ratios is used.
Outlier Ratio (see also Extreme Ratio and Interquartile Range)	Ratios that are found to be statistically different from other sales ratios in a given sample. Outliers may deserve special attention to ensure they represent fair market sales.

Term	Definition
Price-Related Differential (PRD)	The mean ratio divided by the aggregate ratio. The PRD is used to determine whether assessment practices are progressive or regressive. A PRD above 1.03 tends to indicate assessment regressivity (lower-valued properties assessed at higher ratios). A PRD below .98 tends to indicate assessment progressivity (higher-value properties assessed at higher ratios).
Reliable ratio (see also Confidence Level and Confidence Interval) *See notice of change on cover page	For this study, a ratio which is statistically accurate within a margin of error of plus or minus 10% at a 90% confidence level. The sale report indicates if this guideline was met at each level of sales aggregation.
Townwide (see also Class)	The highest level of sales sample aggregation in which all sales across all categories are included for a city or town.
Trimmed data (see also Extreme Ratios)	This refers to the data used to calculate the equalization ratio (i.e., the aggregate or weighted mean) and its confidence interval after any extreme ratios have been eliminated.

Contact Us

If you have questions, contact the Division of Property Valuation and Review at tax.pvr@vermont.gov or call (802) 828-5860.